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## Section 1

## Public-Key Cryptosystems

## General Idea

- Each user publicizes their encryption procedure $E$
- The user determines their corresponding decryption procedure $D$
- The user does not reveal $D$


## Goal

- Alice has a message $M$ to send to Bob
- Need an encryption method $E$ and a decryption method $D$ such that
- $D(E(M))=M=E(D(M))$
- Both $E$ and $D$ are easy to compute
- Publicly revealing $E$ doesn't reveal $D$
- We want an encryption key $(e, n)$ and a decryption key $(d, n)$
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RSA Algorithm

## Key Distribution

- If Bob sends a message $M$ to Alice...
- Alice sends her public encryption key $(e, n)$ to Bob
- She keeps her private decryption key $d$


## Encryption

- Bob turns his message $M$ into some number $m<n$
- Long messages can be split up into chunks
- He computes the ciphertext $c$ using the encryption algorithm $E$ :

$$
c \equiv E(m) \equiv m^{e} \quad \bmod n
$$

- Computers would use exponentiation by repeating squaring and multiplication


## Decryption

- Alice receive the ciphertext $c$
- She decrypts it and finds $m$ using the decryption algorithm $D$ :

$$
m \equiv D(c) \equiv c^{d} \quad \bmod n
$$

- How do we find a valid $e, d$, and $n$ ?


## Key Generation

1. Choose 2 prime numbers $p$ and $q$
2. Compute $n=p \cdot q$
3. Compute $\phi(n)=(p-1) \cdot(q-1)$

- We actually use the Carmichael function now instead

$$
\Longrightarrow \lambda(n)=\operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1)
$$

4. Choose $d$ relatively prime to $\phi(n)$

$$
\Longrightarrow \operatorname{gcd}(d, \phi(n))=1
$$

5. Choose $e$ to be the multiplicative inverse of $d \bmod \phi(n)$ $\Longrightarrow e \cdot d=1 \bmod \phi(n)$

- Computers would use Euclid's algorithm


## Example

1. Choose $p=47$ and $q=59$
2. Compute $n=p \cdot q=47 \cdot 59=2773$
3. Compute $\phi(n)=(p-1) \cdot(q-1)=46 \cdot 58=2668$
4. Choose $d=157$, which is relatively prime to $\phi(n)=2668$
5. We find that $e=17$ as $17 \cdot 157 \equiv 1 \bmod 2773$
6. We release $(n, e)=(2773,17)$ as our public key and keep $d=157$ as our private key

## Example

1. Convert the message to numbers and encrypt

## ITS ALL GREEK TO ME

$\Longrightarrow 0920190001121200071805051100201500130500$
$\Longrightarrow 0948234210841444266323900778077402191655$
2. We write the first block $(m=920)$ as

$$
m^{17} \equiv 920^{17} \equiv 948 \quad \bmod 2773
$$
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Proof of Correctness

## Proof

- $\phi(n)$ is the Euler totient function returning the number of integers $k$ less than $n$ relatively prime to $n$

$$
\Longrightarrow \operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1, k<n
$$

- Note that for a prime number $p$,

$$
\phi(p)=p-1
$$

- Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(n) & =\phi(p) \cdot \phi(q) \\
& =(p-1) \cdot(q-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## More Proof

- $d$ is relatively prime to $\phi(n) \Longrightarrow d$ has a multiplicative inverse $\bmod \phi(n)$
- Consider $D(E(m))$ and $E(D(m))$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D(E(m)) \equiv(E(m))^{d} \equiv\left(m^{e}\right)^{d} \equiv m^{e \cdot d} & \bmod n \\
E(D(m)) \equiv(D(m))^{e} \equiv\left(m^{d}\right)^{e} \equiv m^{e \cdot d} & \bmod n
\end{array}
$$

- Then,

$$
e \cdot d \equiv 1 \quad \bmod \phi(n) \Longrightarrow m^{e \cdot d} \equiv m^{k \cdot \phi(n)+1} \quad \bmod n
$$

## Even More Proof

- For any integer $a$ which is relatively prime to $b$,

$$
a^{\phi(b)} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod b
$$

- So, as $p-1$ and $q-1$ divide $\phi(n)$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
m^{p-1} \equiv 1 & \bmod p \Longrightarrow m^{k \cdot \phi(n)+1}=m & \bmod p \\
m^{q-1} \equiv 1 & \bmod q \Longrightarrow m^{k \cdot \phi(n)+1}=m & \bmod q
\end{array}
$$

- These equations yield that for all $m$ (as they are trivially true for $m \equiv 0 \bmod p$ ),

$$
m^{e \cdot d} \equiv m^{k \cdot \phi(n)+1}=m \quad \bmod n
$$

## Security

- Security relies on the difficulty of factoring $n$
- About 200 digits long and $3.8 \times 10^{9}$ years to factor by 1977 standards
- Typically a few hundred digits now
- If we were to know $p$ and $q$, we could perhaps find $d$ from $e$
- Computing $\phi(n)$ would allow us to find $d$ as the multiplicative inverse of $e \bmod \phi(n)$
- Finding $\phi(n)$ or determining $d$ otherwise is at least as hard as factoring $n$
- If only there was some way to factor $n$ in polynomial time...
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## Conclusion

- RSA is very cool
- Average Passover with too much wine moment (this is supposedly how Rivest came up with this idea)

Questions?

The era of electronic mail may soon be upon us.

- Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman (1977)
[SA77]
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